1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	HECTOR PEREZ ENRIQUEZ,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C15-5065 BHS
9	v.	ORDER REVOKING PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA
10	BARBARA MADSEN, et al.,	PAUPERIS STATUS
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on the limited referral from the Ninth Circuit	
14	Court of Appeals to determine whether Plaintiff Hector Perez Enriquez's ("Enriquez") in	
15	forma pauperis status should continue for his appeal.	
16	On March 6, 2015, the Court granted Enriquez's motion to proceed in forma	
17	pauperis. Dkt. 5. On May 12, 2015, the Court dismissed Enriquez's complaint. Dkt. 12.	
18	On May 29, 2015, Enriquez appealed. Dkt. 14. On June 4, 2015, the Ninth Circuit	
19	referred the matter to this Court to determine the single issue of Enriquez's in forma	
20	pauperis status.	
21	An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing	
22	that it is not taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).	

In this case, the Court concludes that Enriquez's appeal is frivolous. Enriquez's claims are not cognizable under 42 U.S.C. 1983 because they relate to the validity of his state court convictions and are barred under Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), the complaint makes allegations against defendants who are immune from § 1983 liability, and this Court lacks jurisdiction to issue the declaratory and injunctive relief requested. Therefore, the Court **REVOKES** Enriquez's in forma pauperis status for purposes of appeal. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 8th day of June, 2015. United States District Judge